State of Washington Department of Ecology

substitute for OMB No. 2040-0057 and EPA form 3560-3

	Northwest Regional Office WATER COMPLIANCE INSPECTION REPORT							(Rev. 9	(Rev. 9-94) (last file update 12-95.)		
	Transaction Code 1 N 2 5	NPDES # 3 WA-003196-8		yr/mo/d 12 13/07/	lay	Inspe	ection Type 18 <u>R</u>		spector 19 S	Fac Type 20 2	
			Remark	KS							
	Inspection work days	Facility Self-Monitoring Ev	aluation Rating	l E	31	QA			Reserved-		
	67 0.2 69	70 2	3	71	N	72 N			5		
I			Section B: Fac	ility Data							
	Name and Location of Fa POTW name and NPDE	acility Inspected (For industrial users	discharging to POT	W, also includ	le	Entry Tim	ne/Date		Permit E	Effective Date	
	SEATTEL IRON AND MI					1:00 PM 12/13/07			12/01/07		
	601 S. MYRTLE STREET					Exit Time / Date			Permit Expiration Date		
	SEATTLE, WA 98108					3:00 PM 12/13/07 10/25				0/25/07	
	Nama(a) of On Cita Dan	resentative(s)/Title(s)/Phone and Fax	Number(a)		Othor F	acility Dat	10				
	Eric Paul, VP of Operation	on	Nulliber(5)		Outer	acility Dai	ıa				
	SEATTEL IRON AND MI	ETAL CORPORATION T - SEATTLE, WA 98108									
		onsible Official/Title/Phone and Fax N	lumber.								
	Eric Paul, VP of Op										
	206-682-0040										
		M [コー								
	Phone Number	Fax Contacted? Yes	[⊸] No								
ı											
Section C: Areas Evaluated During Inspection (Check only those areas evaluated) Permit								wor Overflow			
	☑ Permit ☐ Records/Repo		ing Program						SO/SSO (Sewer Overflow) Illution Prevention		
	☐ Facility Site Re		Schedules Pretreatment				Multimedia				
☐ Effluent/Receiving water ☐ Laboratory ☐ Storm W											
	This was a vascumain		on D: Summary of F	_			4h::				
This was a reconnaissance inspection. SEATTEL IRON AND METAL(SIM) is the only scrap yard this size for car NWRO and considered one of the largest in Washington.							s and ot	ner metals in			
Robert Wright and Mike Jeffers of Ecology and I arrived at the facility at about 1.00 PM and met with Mr. Eric Paul, VP of Operation discussed that the purpose of our visit that was to familiarize Robert Wright and Mike Jeffers with the site as part of their Duwamish Uwaters Source Control Initiative in support of the Superfund Clean-up of the river. Mr. Paul walked us through the drawings beforstarted the actual site visit. SIM uses a metal shredder to break up larger pieces of ferrous and non-ferrous metals into smaller pieces smaller pieces are sold to metal recyclers for further processing. Other non-metals and non-recycleable materials, such as foams some plastics are landfilled. The facility had been operating under administrative order that was issued on May 28, 1999 and generate the SO3003645C. A new permit was issued in November 2007 that became effective on December 1st. The site appeared to											
								r pieces. The			
	excessive stockpiles amount of recyclable materials that needed be removed as soon as possible. The site appeared to have been pu										
to its limits. The stormwater on site appeared to be extremely contaminated and viscous. We did not notice any applicable implementation of pollution source control measures on the site were very limited. Adequate catch basins inlet protection was measured to be extremely contaminated and viscous. We did not notice any applicable implementation of pollution source control measures on the site were very limited. Adequate catch basins inlet protection was measured to be extremely contaminated and viscous.											
specially, the. They appeared to be filled with extremely dirty/oily runoffs. Failure to properly control the pollution at its source woul jeopardize the efficiency of the stormwater treatment system units. We noticed oily stormwater runoffs running on the dock that may the river instead of the treatment system.into the Duwamish. The facility was originally designed to collect the contaminated stormwan underground storage vault. The vault was designed based on 5 year- 24hr. Runoff as a result of storms larger than the design storaged directly to the river. The treatment plant starts operation and treatment when wastewater collected in the vault reaches a											
height and activates a float switch. The treated contaminated stormwater is discharged to Duwamish River. The system is fully											
and it can also run manually. Besides contaminated stormwater, the facility generates some process wastewater which is King County sanitary sewer system. The County's pretreatment program has issued an industrial users permit to the fac											
	County's delegated pre		oncament progre	um 1100 100U	ou an i	naustila	useis p	ommit to	aic iacii	ny anaomine	
	Name(s) and Signatures	of Inspector(s)	Agency/Office/Tele	ephone					Date		
		Abbasi P.È.	WA Dept. of Ecolo	gy/NWRO/(42					6	/5/2009	
			3190 160th SE, Be	ellevue, WA 9	8008-54	52					

WA Dept. of Ecology NWRO - (425)649-7227 3190 160th SE, Bellevue, WA 98008-5452

Robert Wright

Signature of Management Q A Reviewer	Agency/Office/Phone and Fax Numbers	Date
	WA Dept. of Ecology/NWRO/(425)649-7000	
	fax (425)649-7098	

ANNOUNCED Inspection

Appendix E

Compliance Inspection Report Form

7 IU Toxics with Pretreatment

INSTRUCTIONS

Section A: National Date System Coding (i.e., PCS)

Column 1: Transaction Code. Use N, C, or D for New Change or Delete. All inspections will be new unless there is an error in the data entered.

Columns 3-11: NPDES Permit No. Enter the facility's NPDES permit number. (Use the Remarks columns to record State permit number, if necessary.)

Columns 12-17: Inspection Date. Insert the date entry was made into the facility. Use the year/month/day format (e.g., 94/06/30 = June 30, 1994).

Column 18: Inspection Type. Use one of the codes listed below to describe the type of inspection:

L Enforcement Case Support Performance Audit 2 IU Sampling Inspection Compliance Biomonitoring 3 IU Non-Sampling Inspection M Multimedia С Compliance Evaluation (non-Pretreatment Compliance Inspection 4 IU Toxics Inspection sampling) D 5 IU Sampling Inspection with Pretreatment Diagnostic Reconnaissance Corps of Engineers Inspection Compliance Sampling 6 IU Non-Sampling Inspection with pretreatment

Pretreatment Follow-up IU Inspection with Pretreatment Audit

G Pretreatment Audit Toxics Inspection Х

Industrial User (IU) Inspection Sludge

Column 19: Inspector Code. Use one of the codes listed below to describe the lead agency in the inspection.

C - Contractor or Other Inspectors (Specify in Remarks Columns) N - NEIC Inspectors E - Corps of Engineers R - EPA Regional Inspector

J - Joint EPA/State Inspectors - EPA Lead S - State Inspector

T - Joint State/EPA Inspectors - State Lead

Column 20: Facility Type. Use of one of the codes below to describe the facility.

- 1 Municipal. Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTWs) with 1987 Standard Industrial Code (SIC) 4952.
- 2 Industrial. Other than municipal, agricultural, and Federal facilities.
- 3 Agricultural. Facilities classified with 1987 SIC 0111 to 0971.
- 4 Federal. Facilities identified as Federal by the EPA Regional Office

Columns 21-66: Remarks. These columns are reserved for remarks at the discretion of the Region.

Columns 67-69: Inspection Work Days. Estimate the total work effort (to the nearest 0.1 work day), up to 99.9 days, that were used to complete the inspection and submit a QA reviewed report of findings. This estimate includes the accumulative effort of all participating inspectors; any effort for laboratory analyses, testing, and remote sensing; and the billed payroll time for travel and pre and post inspection preparation. This estimate does not require detailed documentation.

Column 70: Facility Evaluation Rating. Use information gathered during the inspection (regardless of inspection type) to evaluate the quality of the facility self-monitoring program. Grade the program using a scale of 1 to 5 with a score of 5 being used for very reliable self-monitoring programs, 3 being satisfactory, and 1 being used for very unreliable programs.

Column 71: Biomonitoring Information. Enter D for static testing. Enter F for flow through testing. Enter N for no biomonitoring.

Column 72: Quality Assurance Data Inspection. Enter Q if the inspection was conducted as follow-up on quality assurance sample results. Enter N otherwise.

Columns 73-80: These columns are reserved for regionally defined information.

Section B: Facility Data

This section is self-explanatory except for "Other Facility Data," which may include new information not in the permit or PCS (e.g., new outfalls, names of receiving waters, new ownership, and other updates to the record).

Section C: Areas Evaluated During Inspection

Check only those areas evaluated by marking the appropriate box. Use Section D and additional sheets as necessary. Support the findings, as necessary, in a brief narrative report. Use the headings given on the report form (e.g., Permit, Records/Reports) when discussing the areas evaluated during the inspection. The heading marked "Multimedia" may indicate medias such as CAA, RCRA, and TSCA. The heading marked "Other" may indicate activities such as SPCC, BMPs, and concerns that are not covered elsewhere.

Section D: Summary of Findings/Comments

Briefly summarize the inspection findings. This summary should abstract the pertinent inspection findings, not replace the narrative report. Reference a list of attachments, such as completed checklists taken from the NPDES Compliance Inspection Manuals and pretreatment guidance documents, including effluent data when sampling has been done. Use extra sheets as necessary.





